

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PRINESSIA PEREZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE,

Defendant.

No. 2:21-CV-2028-KJM-DMC

ORDER

Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by Eastern District of California local rules.

On December 15, 2021, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections within the time specified therein. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate]


////

1 court . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2 supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations filed December 15, 2021, are adopted
5 in full;
6 2. Defendant’s unopposed motion, ECF No. 5, and request, ECF No. 9, are
7 granted;
8 3. This action is dismissed; and
9 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.

10 DATED: February 22, 2022.

11
12 
13 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28